Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/670,238	MOHR, THOMAS J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .	(3)	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic	ant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		-
Claims discussed:	·	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  On February 16, 2007, the examiner left a voicemail message for Mr. Roger Parkhurst inquiring if a response to last office action has been filed. As of today, February 19, 2007 no response has been filed.		
Part III.	•	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
	•	
		,
( ) Dais 10 9		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No.

Applicant(s)